Axial anomaly, vector meson dominance and mixing

Yaroslav Klopot¹, Armen Oganesian^{1,2} and Oleg Teryaev¹

¹Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

²Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

International School of Subnuclear Physics Erice, June 24 - July 3, 2015

Outline



- 2 Isovector channel: π^0
- Ossible corrections to ASR
- 4 Time-like region and VMD

5 η, η' TFFs



Transition form factors



Form factors $F_{M\gamma}$ of the transitions $\gamma\gamma^* \to M$ (M= π^0, η, η'):

$$\int d^4 x e^{ikx} \langle M(p) | T\{J_{\mu}(x)J_{\nu}(0)\} | 0 \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k^{\rho} q^{\sigma} F_{M\gamma}$$
(1)

Kinematics: $k^2 = 0$, $-q^2 \equiv Q^2 > 0$ (space-like region), $q^2 > 0$ (time-like region)

 π^0 Corrections Time-like region and VMD $\,\eta,\eta^\prime$ TFFs Summ

π^0 TFF: experimental status



• The current experimental status of the pion transition form factor (TFF) $F_{\pi\gamma}$ is rather controversial: The measurements of the BABAR collaboration [Aubert et al. '09] show a steady rise of $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma}$, surpassing the pQCD predicted asymptote $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} \rightarrow \sqrt{2} f_{\pi}, f_{\pi} = 130.7$ MeV at $Q^2 \simeq 10$ GeV² and questioning the collinear factorization. Later BELLE collaboration data [2012] are more consistent with pQCD.

Y. Klopot, A. Oganesian, O. Teryaev

Axial anomaly

In QCD, for a given flavor q, the divergence of the axial current $J_{\mu 5}^{(q)} = \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q$ acquires both electromagnetic and gluonic anomalous terms:

$$\partial_{\mu}J_{\mu5}^{(q)} = m_q \bar{q}\gamma_5 q + \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} e_q^2 N_c F \tilde{F} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} N_c G \tilde{G}, \qquad (2)$$

An octet of axial currents

$$J^{(a)}_{\mu 5} = \sum_{q} ar{q} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu rac{\lambda^a}{\sqrt{2}} q$$

Singlet axial current $J^{(0)}_{\mu 5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u + \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d + \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s)$:

$$\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu 5}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (m_{u} \overline{u} \gamma_{5} u + m_{d} \overline{d} \gamma_{5} d + m_{s} \overline{s} \gamma_{5} s) + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} C^{(0)} N_{c} F \tilde{F} + \frac{\sqrt{3} \alpha_{s}}{4\pi} N_{c} G \widetilde{G},$$
(3)

The diagonal components of the octet of axial currents
$$J_{\mu 5}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}u - \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}d),$$

 $J_{\mu 5}^{(8)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}u + \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}d - 2\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}s)$
acquire an electromagnetic anomalous term only:

$$\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu 5}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (m_{u} \overline{u} \gamma_{5} u - m_{d} \overline{d} \gamma_{5} d) + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} C^{(3)} N_{c} F \tilde{F}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu 5}^{(8)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (m_{u} \overline{u} \gamma_{5} u + m_{d} \overline{d} \gamma_{5} d - 2m_{s} \overline{s} \gamma_{5} s) + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} C^{(8)} N_{c} F \tilde{F}. \qquad (5)$$

The electromagnetic charge factors $C^{(a)}$ are

$$C^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_u^2 - e_d^2) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}},$$

$$C^{(8)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (e_u^2 + e_d^2 - 2e_s^2) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{6}},$$

$$C^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (e_u^2 + e_d^2 + e_s^2) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$
(6)

 τ^0 Corrections Time-like region and VMD η,η' TFFs Summ

Axial anomaly: real and virtual photons

- Axial anomaly determines the π⁰ → γγ decay width: a unique example of a low-energy process, precisely predicted from QCD.
- The dispersive approach to axial anomaly leads to the *anomaly sum* rule (ASR) providing a handy tool to study the meson transition form factors $M \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^*$ (even beyond the factorization hypothesis).

The VVA triangle graph amplitude $T_{\alpha\mu\nu}(k,q) = \int d^4x d^4y e^{(ikx+iqy)} \langle 0|T\{J_{\alpha5}(0)J_{\mu}(x)J_{\nu}(y)\}|0\rangle$ can be presented as a tensor decomposition

$$T_{\alpha\mu\nu}(k,q) = F_{1} \varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\rho}k^{\rho} + F_{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\rho}q^{\rho} + F_{3} k_{\nu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\rho\sigma}k^{\rho}q^{\sigma} + F_{4} q_{\nu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\rho\sigma}k^{\rho}q^{\sigma} + F_{5} k_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma}k^{\rho}q^{\sigma} + F_{6} q_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma}k^{\rho}q^{\sigma},$$
(7)

 $F_j = F_j(p^2, k^2, q^2; m^2), p = k + q.$

Dispersive approach to axial anomaly leads to [Hořejší, Teryaev'95]:

$$\int_{4m^2}^{\infty} A_3(s, Q^2; m^2) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} N_c C^{(a)} , \qquad (8)$$
$$A_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2} Im(F_3 - F_6), N_c = 3;$$

ASR and meson contributions

Saturating the l.h.s. of the 3-point correlation function with the resonances and singling out their contributions to ASR we get the (infinite) sum of resonances with appropriate quantum numbers:

$$\pi \sum f_M^a F_{M\gamma} = \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} A_3(s, Q^2; m^2) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} N_c C^{(a)}, \qquad (9)$$

where the coupling (decay) constants f_M^a :

$$\langle 0|J_{\alpha 5}^{(a)}(0)|M(p)\rangle = ip_{\alpha}f_{M}^{a}, \qquad (10)$$

and form factors $F_{M\gamma}$ of the transitions $\gamma\gamma^* \to M$ are:

$$\int d^4 x e^{ikx} \langle M(\rho) | T\{J_{\mu}(x)J_{\nu}(0)\} | 0 \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k^{\rho} q^{\sigma} F_{M\gamma}$$
(11)

• Sum of finite number of resonances decreasing $F_{M\gamma}^{\text{asymp}}(Q^2) \propto \frac{f_M}{Q^2}$ infinite number of states are needed to saturate the ASR (collective effect). [Y.K.,A.Oganesian,O.Teryaev, PLB 695 (2011) 130]

Isovector channel: π^0

$$J_{\mu 5}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u - \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d), C^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_{u}^{2} - e_{d}^{2}) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}.$$

• π^0 + higher contributions ("continuum"):

$$\pi f_{\pi} F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^2) + \int_{s_0}^{\infty} A_3(s, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} N_c C^{(3)}.$$
 (12)

The spectral density $A_3(s, Q^2)$ can be calculated from VVA triangle diagram:

$$A_3(s,Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{Q^2}{(Q^2 + s)^2}.$$
 (13)

The pion TFF:

$$F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \frac{s_0}{s_0 + Q^2}.$$
 (14)

$$F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \frac{s_0}{s_0 + Q^2}$$
(15)

The limit $Q^2
ightarrow \infty +$ pQCD prediction $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} = \sqrt{2} f_{\pi}$ gives

$$s_0 = 4\pi^2 f_\pi^2 = 0.67 \, GeV^2$$

- fits perfectly the value extracted from SVZ (two-point) QCD sum rules $s_0 = 0.7 GeV^2$ [Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov'79]. - proves BL interpolation formula[Brodsky,Lepage'81]:

$$F_{\pi\gamma}^{\rm BL}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \frac{1}{1 + Q^2/\left(4\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2\right)}.$$
 (16)

Corrections interplay



• The full integral is exact

$$rac{1}{2\pi}=\int_0^\infty A_3(s,Q^2)ds=I_\pi+I_{cont}$$

- The continuum contribution $I_{cont} = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} A_3(s, Q^2) ds$ may have perturbative as well as power corrections.
- $\delta I_{\pi} = -\delta I_{cont}$: small relative correction to continuum due to exactness of ASR must be compensated by large relative correction to the pion contribution!

Possible corrections to A_3

- Perturbative two-loop corrections to spectral density A₃ are zero [Jegerlehner&Tarasov'06]
- Nonperturbative corrections to A₃ are possible: vacuum condensates, instantons, short strings.
- General requirements for the correction $\delta I = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \delta A_3(s, Q^2) ds$: $\delta I = 0$
 - at $s_0
 ightarrow \infty$ (the continuum contribution vanishes),
 - \bullet at $s_0 \rightarrow 0$ (the full integral has no corrections),
 - ullet at $Q^2 \to \infty$ (the perturbative theory works at large Q^2),
 - ullet at $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ (anomaly perfectly describes pion decay width).

$$\delta I = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{\lambda s_0 Q^2}{(s_0 + Q^2)^2} (\ln \frac{Q^2}{s_0} + \sigma), \tag{17}$$

$$\delta F_{\pi\gamma} = \frac{1}{\pi f_{\pi}} \delta I_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \frac{\lambda s_0 Q^2}{(s_0 + Q^2)^2} (\ln \frac{Q^2}{s_0} + \sigma).$$
(18)

Correction vs. experimental data



CELLO+CLEO+BABAR: $\lambda = 0.14, \ \sigma = -2.43, \ \chi^2/n.d.f. = 1.08$

Time-like region: $q^2 > 0(Q^2 < 0)$ and VMD

The ASR for time-like q^2 is given by the double dispersive integral:

$$\int_0^\infty ds \int_0^\infty dy \frac{\rho^{(a)}(s,y)}{y-q^2+i\epsilon} = N_c C^{(a)}, \ a = 3, 8.$$
(19)

The real and imaginary parts of the ASR read:

$$p.v. \int_0^\infty ds \int_0^\infty dy \frac{\rho^{(a)}(s, y)}{y - q^2} = N_c C^{(a)}, \tag{20}$$

$$\int_0^\infty ds \rho^{(a)}(s,q^2) = 0, \ a = 3,8.$$
 (21)

$$ReF_{\pi\gamma}(q^2) = \frac{N_c C^{(3)}}{2\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \left[p.v. \int_0^{s_3} ds \int_0^\infty dy \frac{\rho^{(a)}(s,y)}{y-q^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_{\pi}} \frac{s_0}{s_0-q^2}.$$

The TFF in the time-like region at $q^2 = s_0 = 0.67 \text{ GeV}^2$ has a pole, which is numerically close to the ρ meson mass squared, $m_\rho^2 \simeq 0.59 \text{ GeV}^2$ — **VMD model**.

Y. Klopot, A. Oganesian, O. Teryaev

Octet channel of ASR

ASR in the octet channel:

$$J_{\alpha5}^{(8)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{u}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}u + \bar{d}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}d - 2\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}s),$$

$$f_{\eta}^{8}F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^{2}) + f_{\eta'}^{8}F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}\pi^{2}} \frac{s_{0}^{(8)}}{s_{0}^{(8)} + Q^{2}}.$$
 (22)

- Significant mixing.
- η' decays into two real photons, so it should be taken into account explicitly along with η meson.

ASR at $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ -continuum threshold $s_0^{(8)}$:

$$4\pi^{2}((f_{\eta}^{8})^{2} + (f_{\eta'}^{8})^{2} + 2\sqrt{2}[f_{\eta}^{8}f_{\eta}^{0} + f_{\eta'}^{8}f_{\eta'}^{0}]) = s_{0}^{(8)}$$
(23)

η,η^\prime TFF in the space-like region $(Q^2>0~(q^2<0))$



$$F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^2) = \frac{5}{12\pi^2 f_s f_\pi} \frac{s_0^{(3)}(\sqrt{2}f_s \cos\phi - f_q \sin\phi)}{s_0^{(3)} + Q^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f_s} \frac{s_0^{(3)} \sin\phi}{s_0^{(3)} + Q^2},$$
(24)

$$F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^2) = \frac{5}{12\pi^2 f_{\rm s} f_{\pi}} \frac{s_0^{(3)}(\sqrt{2}f_{\rm s}\sin\phi + f_{q}\cos\phi)}{s_0^{(3)} + Q^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f_{\rm s}} \frac{s_0^{(8)}\cos\phi}{s_0^{(8)} + Q^2},\tag{25}$$

where $s_0^{(3)} = 4\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2$, $s_0^{(8)} = (4/3)\pi^2 (5f_q^2 - 2f_s^2)$. [YK, Oganesian, Teryaev Phys.Rev. D87 (2013) 3, 036013] Y. Klopot, A. Oganesian, O. Teryaev Axial anomaly, vector meson dominance and mixing

η TFF in the time-like region vs. data



$$F_{\eta\gamma}(q^2) = \frac{5}{12\pi^2 f_s f_\pi} \frac{s_3(\sqrt{2}f_s\cos\phi - f_q\sin\phi)}{s_3 - q^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f_s} \frac{s_8\sin\phi}{s_8 - q^2}, \quad (26)$$
$$s_3 = 4\pi^2 f_\pi^2, \ s_8 = (4/3)\pi^2 (5f_q^2 - 2f_s^2).$$

[YK, Oganesian, Teryaev JETP Lett. 99 (2014) 679]

Summary

- Meson TFFs are unique quantities which link (seemingly different) physics concepts: **axial anomaly, mixing and VMD model**.
- The ASR in the isovector channel gives ground for the VMD model in the time-like region.
- A possible new non-OPE correction (e.g. due to short strings) to spectral density is supported by BABAR and not excluded by BELLE data. More accurate data is required for definite conclusions.
- Due to mixing in the $\eta \eta'$ system, ASR results in "shifted" intervals of dualities of η and η' and gives ground for VMD model for the processes involving η and η' mesons in time-like region.

Thank you for your attention!