# Majorana fermions Supersymmetry Breaking Born-Infeld Theory

#### Sergio FERRARA (CERN)

53<sup>rd</sup> Course – Int. School of Subnuclear Physics "The Future of our Physics Including New Frontiers" Erice, June 24 – July 3 2015

## **Plan of the Lectures**

- a. Majorana fermions
- b. Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking
- c. Born-Infeld Theory and Duality

#### Part a

## Majorana fermions

Supersymmetry deals with basic spinorial entities called "Majorana spinors". This notion originates from a fundamental observation of the Italian (Sicilian) physicist Ettore Majorana, who noticed that if the Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrices are suitably chosen, the Dirac equation admits "real" solutions

"Teoria symmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone", Nuovo Cimento 14 (1937) 171

This is the so-called **Majorana representation**, where the  $\gamma$ -matrices are all real. Therefore the Dirac equation

$$(\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + m) \psi = 0$$

admits manifestly real solutions.

In the Majorana representation the  $\gamma_i$  are symmetric, while  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$  are antisymmetric, and satisfy the Clifford algebra relations

$$\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu} = (-, +, +, +)$$

How about chiral spinors? They cannot be real, since they are eigenstates of  $\gamma_5$ , corresponding to eigenvalues  $\pm i (\gamma_5^2 = -1)$ :

$$\gamma_5 \psi_{\pm} = \pm i \psi_{\pm}$$
  
So  $\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \mp i \gamma_5) \psi \quad \psi_{+} + \psi_{-} = \psi \quad \psi_{+}^{\star} = \psi_{-}$ 

Spinors which are eigenstates of  $\gamma_5$  are called Weyl spinors.

From the preceding properties it follows that Weyl spinors have two components instead of four, and are complex, in D=4.

Symmetries of  $\gamma$ 's depend on the dimension D=s+t of space time, and reality properties on its signature  $\rho$ =s-t (modulo 8: Bott periodicity). For ordinary Minkowski space  $\rho$ =D-2. For example, in D=10 the Majorana representation is valid for both  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$ , since  $\gamma_{11}^2 = 1$ . For a comprehensive treatment of spinors and Clifford algebra for arbitrary (s,t) see, for instance:

R. D'Auria, SF, M.A. Lledo and V.S. Varadarajan, J. Geom. Phys. 40 (2001) 101 5

The massless Dirac equation has an extra symmetry, which in the Majorana representation takes the form

$$\psi' = e^{\alpha \gamma_5} \psi$$

This is indeed a U(1) rotation, since  $\gamma_5^2 = -1$ .

However, this symmetry is broken by the mass term for a Majorana fermion (called Majorana mass). Defining a complex Dirac spinor

 $\psi_D = \psi_1 + i \psi_2$ ,  $\psi_D^{\star} = \psi_1 - i \psi_2$ ,  $(\psi_1, \psi_2 \text{ Majorana})$ one can now have a U(1) symmetry which rotates  $\psi_1$  into  $\psi_2$ .

We can now define:

$$\psi_{L} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - i\gamma_{5}) \psi_{D}, \quad \psi_{R} = \psi_{L}^{\star} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + i\gamma_{5}) \psi_{D}^{\star}$$
$$\chi_{L} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - i\gamma_{5}) \psi_{D}^{\star}, \quad \chi_{R} = \chi_{L}^{\star} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + i\gamma_{5}) \psi_{D}_{I}$$

Therefore  $\psi_L, \chi_L$  ( $\psi_R, \chi_R$ ) have opposite U(1) phases, while  $\psi_L, \chi_R$  ( $\psi_R, \chi_L$ ) have identical U(1) phases.

The U(1) invariant Dirac equation in the chiral (Weyl) notation becomes

$$\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_L + m \chi_R = 0 , \quad \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi_L + m \psi_R = 0 \quad (+ h.c.)$$

Therefore the Dirac mass term, in this notation, is  $m \psi_L \chi_L + h.c.$ , which is of course U(1) invariant.

In principle, if we give up the U(1) symmetry, a Dirac fermion can have three types of mass terms,

$$m \psi_L \chi_L$$
,  $M \psi_L \psi_L$ ,  $N \chi_L \chi_L$ 

m: Dirac mass ; (M,N): Majorana masses

As a result, the matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & m \\ m & N \end{array} \right)$$

has the two eigenvalues,

$$m_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ M + N \pm \sqrt{(M-N)^2 + 4m^2} \right]$$

In particular, for  $N=0 \ , \ \ M >> m \ {\rm the \ eigenvalues \ become}$ 

$$m_{1,2} = \left(M, -\frac{m^2}{M}\right)$$

This is at the basis of the see-saw mechanism.

Minkowski; Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky

REALITY PROPERTIES OF SPINORS IN A SPACE-TIME WITH (S, E) SIGNATURE, D=S+E, p=S-E EIGHT CASES (BOTT PERIODICITY) p = 2, 6COMPLEX SPINORS  $\rho = 0, 1, 7$ REAL SPINORS p= 3,4,5 PSEUDOREAL SPINORS IN MINKOWSKI SPACE-TIME (D-1,1) P=D-2  $D = 4_1 \vartheta$ D COMPLEY SPINORS D= 2,10 IT APPLIES TO S+ REAL SPINORS lven  $\mathcal{D} = G$ PSEUDOREAL SPINORS D = 3, 9, 11REAL SPINORS  $\mathcal{D}$ odd PJEUDO REAL SPINORS D = 5, 7

A SPINOR IS REAL IF  $\Psi^* = \Psi$ A SPINOR IS PSEUDOREAL IF  $\Psi^{*I}_{\alpha} = \Omega^{IJ}C_{\alpha\beta}\Psi^{J}_{\beta}$  $(\Omega^2 = -1, C^2 = -1)$  B

Weyl Spinors are zecl for D=2 mod 8 Wey) Spinois cre preudozect for D=6 mod 8 Weyl Spinons au complex for D=4,8 mod 8 The automorphism from of the SOPERSYMIETRY ALGEBRA is in these esses D=2 molf  $50(N_{+}) \times SO(N_{-})$ D=6 mod 8  $Sp(2N+) \times Sp(2N-)$ D= 4,8 mel 8 UCN)

SUPERSYMPETRY ALGEBRAS (WITHOUT CENTRAL EXTENSION) D = 5, 6, 7 $\left\{ Q_{\alpha}^{A}, Q_{\beta}^{B} \right\} = \Omega^{AB} \left( \chi^{f} \right)_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{P}_{f} + \cdots$ D=4,8 $\{Q_{\alpha}^{A}, Q_{\alpha}^{A}B_{\beta}^{F} = \mathcal{S}_{B}^{A}(\mathcal{Y}^{F})_{\alpha\alpha}\mathcal{P}_{f}^{F} + ...$ D= 3,9,10,11  $\{Q_{\alpha}^{A}, Q_{\beta}^{B}\} = \{\mathcal{F}^{AB}(\mathcal{F})_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{P}_{\beta}^{+} \cdots$ 11 D (M THEORY) ALGEBRA (WITH CENTRAL EXTENSION) 5 Qa, QpS = (8th, Pp + (8th) ap Zpu + (8tupos) ap Zjupos five brane two branc points

C

COUNTING COMPONENTS:

D  $S_{\pm}$  Spinors :  $2^{\frac{D-2}{2}}$ avec Deven (twice for complex of prudores) D S Spinon 2 2-1 odd Dodd (tura le prudorer)  $S_{+} \rightarrow 2_{C}$ , D=6  $S_{+}=4_{C}$ , D=10  $S_{+}=16_{R}$  $S_{+} \rightarrow 8_{C}$  (D=2  $S_{+}\rightarrow 1_{R}$ ) tor example: D=4 D = JD even  $S \rightarrow 2_R$ , D=5  $S \rightarrow 4_c$ , D=7  $S \rightarrow 8_c$ , D=9  $S \rightarrow 16_R$ Dodd: D=3 S-> 32R D = ||

8 REAL SPINOR COMPONENTS ARE POSSIBLE IF DEG 16 REAL SPINOR COMPONENTS ARE POSSIBLE IF DEIO 31 REAL SPINOR COMPONENTS ARE POSSIBLE IF DESE Ď

GRAVITINO: Spin 3/2

4 px (vector-spinor)

MASSLESS (IR of SO(D-2)) SO ITS COMPONENTS ARE  $(D-2) \times 2^{\frac{D-3}{2}} - 2^{\frac{D-3}{2}} = (D-3)2^{\frac{D-3}{2}}$  Dodd, Szeel

$$D = |1 \rightarrow 8 \times 16 = 128$$
  
$$D = 10 \rightarrow (D-3) 2 \xrightarrow{p-4}{2} = 7 \times 8 = 56 \pm$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5G} = R - \overline{\Psi}_{r} \gamma^{rv} \mathcal{D}_{v} \psi_{e}$$



POINCARE' SUPERSYMMETRY + SUPERGRAVITY -> D < 11 CONFORMAL SUPERSYMMETRY -> D < 6 BASED ON CLASSIFICATION OF SUPERALCEBRAS (KATZ)

G

Dodd	Keven	(symmetry)	kodd	
D=1	So S->NK	$(-)^{k(k-1)/2}$	J⊗S→NK	(-) k (k-1)/2
$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$	S&S-JAK	- (-) K(k-1)/2	Z⊗S→∧K	(-) K(K-1)/2
<i>V=3</i>	To C->1/k -	- (-) K(K-1)/2	SOS-NK	$-(-)^{k(k-1)/2}$
D=5		K(K-1)/	rachk	к(к-1)/2 -(-)
D= 7	Sos-1/K (	-)	J 42 3	
EXAMPLE	K=1 & Pr	(symmetre D=	1,3 cufilmach	$\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T}\mathcal{J}$

### Part b

## **Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking**

In supersymmetric theories there are conserved Majorana vectorspinor currents, the Noether currents of Supersymmetry (in van der Waerden notation):

$$\partial^{\mu} J^{A}_{\mu \alpha}(x) = 0 \quad (A = 1, .., N)$$

The corresponding charges

$$Q^A_{\alpha} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, J^A_{0\,\alpha}(x)$$

0

generate the Supersymmetry algebra

$$\left\{Q^A_{\alpha}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha} B}\right\} = \left(\sigma_{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} P^{\mu} \delta^A_B, \quad \left\{Q^A_{\alpha}, Q^B_{\beta}\right\} = 0$$

*p*-branes can modify this algebra by adding "central extension" terms: (Townsend; SF, Porrati)

$$\{Q^{A}_{\alpha}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha} B}\} = (\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P^{\mu} \delta^{A}_{B} + Z^{A\mu}_{B} (\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \text{ (strings)} \{Q^{A}_{\alpha}, Q^{B}_{\beta}\} = Z^{[AB]} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + Z^{(AB)}_{(\alpha\beta)} \text{ (points, membranes)}$$

(Dvali, Shifman; Polchinski; Bagger, Galperin; Rocek, Tseytlin)

In the N=1 spontaneous breaking, the order parameter f enters a term linear in the supercurrents (dim(f)=2 in nat. units):

$$J_{\mu \dot{\alpha}} = f (\gamma_{\mu} G)_{\dot{\alpha}} + \dots$$

 $G_{\alpha}$  is a Majorana fermion, the **goldstino**.

The SUSY current algebra implies

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{y} \{ J_{0\dot{\alpha}}(y), J_{\mu\alpha}(x) \} = (\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} T_{\nu\mu}(x) + \text{derivatives}$$

so that

$$\langle 0 | \{ \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, J_{\mu\alpha}(x) \} | \rangle = (\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \langle T_{\nu\mu} \rangle = (\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} f^{2}$$

and for several charges

$$\langle 0|\left\{\overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}A}, J^B_{\mu\alpha}(x)\right\}|\rangle = (\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \langle 0|T_{\nu\mu}|0\rangle \delta^B_A = (\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} f^2 \delta^B_A$$

So without central extension and in the rigid case (not Supergravity), either all Supersymmetries are unbroken or they are all broken at the same scale f.

$$J_{\mu \dot{\alpha} A} = f (\gamma_{\mu} G_A)_{\dot{\alpha}} + \dots \qquad \text{(Witten)}$$

One can invalidate this result modifying the current algebra via terms not proportional to  $\delta_A^B$ , adding a contribution proportional to  $C_A^B$ , a constant matrix in the adjoint of SU(N), *i.e.* traceless and Hermitian.

$$\langle 0|\left\{\overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}A}, J^{B}_{\mu\alpha}(x)\right\}|0\rangle = (\sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \langle 0|T_{\nu\mu}|0\rangle \delta^{B}_{A} + (\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} C^{B}_{A}$$

Effective goldstino actions depend on both N and  $C^B_A\;$  , since it can be shown that

$$\delta_A \chi^i \,\delta^B \overline{\chi}_i = V \,\delta^B_A + C^B_A \quad \left(\delta \chi^i = \delta_A \chi^i \,\epsilon^A\right)$$

If k supersymmetries are unbroken, the (Hermitian) matrix  $V\mathbf{1} + C$ will have rank N-k in the vacuum. The N-1 possible scales of partial supersymmetry breaking will be classified by the N-1 Casimir operators of SU(N). Amusingly, the characteristic equation can be solved algebraic form up to quartic order, which corresponds to the N=4 case.

Field theoretical examples are known for N=2

(Antoniadis, Partouche, Taylor; SF, Porrati, Sagnotti)

and the previous analysis in terms of SU(2) invariants was performed in (Andrianopoli, D'Auria, SF, Trigiante). In this case the SU(2) quadratic invariant is the squared norm of a 3-vector  $\xi^x$  constructed via electric and magnetic Fayet-Iliopoulos terms

$$\xi^x = (\mathcal{Q}_y \wedge \mathcal{Q}_z) \, \epsilon^{xyz} \, , \quad \mathcal{Q}_y \wedge \mathcal{Q}_z = m_y^{\Lambda} \, e_{z\Lambda} \, - \, m_z^{\Lambda} \, e_{y\Lambda}$$

 $(\Lambda=1,...,n)$ , with n the number of vector multiplets.

The Hermitian matrix

$$\delta_A \chi^i \, \delta^B \overline{\chi}_i \; = \; \left( \begin{array}{ccc} V - \xi^3 & \xi^1 + i \, \xi^2 \\ \xi^1 - i \, \xi^2 & V + \xi^3 \end{array} \right)$$

has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = V \ \mp \ \sqrt{\xi^x \, \xi^x}$$

When  $V = \sqrt{\xi^x \xi^x}$ ,  $\lambda_1$  vanishes and N=2 is broken to N=1 with  $f_2 = (\xi^x \xi^x)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

The goldstino action for N=1 and for general N spontaneously broken to N=0 (  $C_A^B=0\,$  ) was derived by <code>volkov</code> and <code>Akulov</code> in the N=1 case, and in general reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{VA}\left(f, G_{\alpha}^{A}\right) = f^{2}\left[1 - \sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{f^{2}}\left(\overline{G}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}G^{A} - h.c.\right)\right)}\right]$$

The Goldstino Lagrangian contains a finite number of terms because of the nilpotency of the  $G^A$ . This action has U(N) symmetry (R-symmetry) and it is invariant under the following transformation:

$$\delta G^A_\alpha(x) = f \epsilon^A_\alpha + \frac{i}{f} \left( \overline{G}_B \gamma^\mu \epsilon^B - \overline{\epsilon}_B \gamma^\mu G^B \right) \partial_\mu G^A_\alpha$$

The Goldstino action for partially broken N=2 supersymmetry is instead described by the supersymmetric Born-Infeld action

(Deser, Puzalowski; Cecotti, SF; Bagger, Galperin; Rocek, Tseytlin; Kuzenko, Theisen)  $\mathcal{L}(G_{lpha},F_{\mu
u})$  , with the properties

$$\mathcal{L}(G_{\alpha}, F_{\mu\nu} = 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{VA}$$
$$\mathcal{L}(G_{\alpha} = 0, F_{\mu\nu}) = f^2 \left[ 1 - \sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{f} F_{\mu\nu}\right)} \right]$$

The gaugino of N=1 is the goldstino of the second broken Supersymmetry.

In terms of microscopic parameters, the scale of the broken supersymmetry is an SU(2) and symplectic invariant

$$f = (\xi^x \xi^x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sim (e_2 m_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{(for n = 1, m_2 = 0, Q_3 = 0)}$$

The Goldstino action for a partial breaking N->N-k is only known for N=2, which corresponds to the non-linear limit of the quadratic action described before. In the simplest case of an N=2 vector multiplet, composed of an N=1 vector multiplet and an N=1 chiral multiplet

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), 0, 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1, \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$m_{v} = 0 \qquad m_{x} \neq 0$$

The  $C_A^B$  allow to give a mass to the chiral multiplet. In the large mass limit the chiral multiplet can be "integrated out", giving rise to a non-linear theory for the fields  $(G_{\alpha}, F_{\mu\nu})$ .

Chiral Multiplet 
$$X : (\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}X = 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$$
  
Vector Multiplet  $W_{\alpha} : (\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}W_{\alpha} = 0) \longrightarrow (1, \frac{1}{2})$ 

Superfield constraint :

(Bagger, Galperin)

$$X = -\frac{W^2}{m_1 - \overline{D}^2 \overline{X}} \longrightarrow X \text{ non-linear function of } W_{\alpha}, \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{SBI} = Im \int d^2 \theta (e_1 + ie_2) X \left( m_1, D^2 W^2, \overline{D}^2 \overline{W}^2 \right)$$

$$f = \sqrt{e_2 m_1}, \vartheta = \frac{e_1}{e_2} \quad \text{(for canonically normalized vectors)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{SBI} = \vartheta Im \int d^2 \theta W^2 + Re \int d^2 \theta W^2 - \frac{1}{f^4} \int d^4 \theta W^2 \overline{W}^2 \left[ (X^2 - Y)^{\frac{1}{2}} + X \right]^{-1}$$

$$X = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( T + \overline{T} \right), \quad Y = T \overline{T}, \quad T = \frac{1}{f^2} \overline{D}^2 \overline{W}^2, \quad T|_{\theta=0} = -\frac{1}{2f^2} F_+^2$$

$$F_{\pm,\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{\mu\nu} \pm i \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right), \quad F_+^* = F_-$$
(Cecotti, SF)

17

#### Part c

## **Born-Infeld Theory and Duality**

**Electric-magnetic duality** is one of the most fascinating symmetries of non-linear theories.

# **Free Maxwell theory is the prototype of a duality invariant theory**. The relativistic form of its equations in vacuum is

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \Box A_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\partial \cdot A = 0$$
  
$$\partial_{\mu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$$
  
Letting  $F_{\mu\nu} = (\vec{E}, \vec{B})$  one recovers the conventional form  
$$\partial_{t}\vec{E} = \nabla \times \vec{B} \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$
  
$$\partial_{t}\vec{B} = -\nabla \times \vec{E} \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0$$
  
$$(F_{0i} = E_{i}, \quad F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}B_{k})$$

The **energy-momentum tensor** is

 $T_{\mu\nu}: \quad T_{ij} = E_i E_j + B_i B_j , \quad T_{0i} = \epsilon_{ijk} E_j B_k , \quad T_{00} = \vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2$ 

and **the equations of motion**, together with  $T_{\mu\nu}$  (and in particular the Hamiltonian) **are invariant under U(1) rotations** 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{B} \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{B} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\delta_{\alpha} T_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Note that the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2 \right)$  is not invariant.

Moreover *e.m.* duality is not an internal symmetry, since it rotates a tensor into a pseudo-tensor (a sort of bosonic chiral transformation)

Non-linear theories of electromagnetism (with possible addition of other matter fields and electric and/or magnetic sources) are obtained introducing an "electric displacement"  $\vec{D}$  and a "magnetic field"  $\vec{H}$  that only for the free Maxwell theory coincide with the electric field  $\vec{E}$  and the magnetic induction  $\vec{B}$ 

$$\vec{E} = \vec{D}$$
,  $\vec{B} = \vec{H}$ 

In a medium (with possible sources) the non—linear *e.m.* equations become

$$\partial_t \vec{B} + \nabla \times \vec{E} = 0 \left( 4\pi \vec{J}_m \right) \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \left( 4\pi \rho_m \right) \\ - \partial_t \vec{D} + \nabla \times \vec{H} = 4\pi \vec{J}_e \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{D} = 4\pi \rho_e$$

where  $\left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}, \vec{D}, \vec{H}\right)$  are linked by the constitutive relations  $\vec{D} = \vec{D} \left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}\right) \quad \vec{H} = \vec{H} \left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}\right)$ 

with 
$$\vec{D} = \vec{E} + \ldots$$
,  $\vec{H} = \vec{B} + \ldots$ 

we observe that the non-linear equations are invariant under rotations

$$\left(\vec{B}, -\vec{D}\right) \rightarrow \left(\vec{B}, -\vec{D}\right)_{\alpha} \qquad \left(\vec{E}, \vec{H}\right) \rightarrow \left(\vec{E}, \vec{H}\right)_{\alpha}$$

In a relativistic notation one can write

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}\right) \qquad G_{\mu\nu} = \left(\vec{H}, -\vec{D}\right)$$

and the non-linear equations with sources become

$$\partial_{\mu}\widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} = J_e^{\nu}, \qquad \partial_{\mu}\widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \left(J_m^{\nu}\right)$$

and are invariant under rotations  $(F_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}) \rightarrow (F_{\mu\nu}, G_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}$ provided sources rotate accordingly.

Here  $G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu})$  , and in the vacuum  $F_{\mu\nu} = -\widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ 

The source-free stress tensor now becomes (Gaillard and Zumino, 1981)

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\lambda} = -\partial_{\lambda} \varphi^{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{i}} + \delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \mathcal{L} + \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\lambda}$$

(when matter fields  $\varphi^i$  are included)

 $T^{\mu}{}_{\lambda}$  is invariant under duality rotations also acting on matter fields, and the first term is absent in a pure non-linear theory for  $F_{\mu\nu}$ 

Generalizing to **n Maxwell fields** is straightforward, and the original U(1) rotations become at most U(n) rotations :

$$\delta F = a \cdot F + b \cdot G$$
  
$$\delta G = c \cdot F + d \cdot G$$

with matrices a, b, c, d such that

$$d = a = -a^T$$
,  $b = b^T$ ,  $c = -b$ 

The above invariance under electric-magnetic duality is far from trivial, since the (F,G) rotation must be consistent with the constitutive relation  $G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu})$ , and it is highly non trivial to find functionals that satisfy such compatibility constraints.

In addition, the request of having a Lagrangian formulation for such theories demands that

$$\widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F^{\mu\nu}}$$

or, in non-covariant form

$$\vec{D} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}\right)}{\partial \vec{E}} \qquad \vec{H} = -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\left(\vec{E}, \vec{B}\right)}{\partial \vec{E}}$$

with the integrability condition

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}}{\partial F^{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{G}_{\rho\sigma}}{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}$$

It can be shown that invariance under U(n) rotations requires that the constitutive relations satisfy the conditions

(Gaillard and Zumino)

$$G^{\Lambda} \widetilde{G}^{\Sigma} + F^{\Lambda} \widetilde{F}^{\Sigma} = 0$$
  
$$G^{\Lambda} \widetilde{F}^{\Sigma} - G^{\Sigma} \widetilde{F}^{\Lambda} = 0$$

In particular, for n=1 there is just one condition  $G \,\widetilde{G} \ + \ F \,\widetilde{F} \ = \ 0$ which is trivially satisfied in Maxwell theory, where  $\ F \ = \ - \ \widetilde{G}$ 

A non-trivial solution of the constraints is provided by the Born-Infeld Theory ("Foundations of the new field theory", Proc. R. Soc. London A144 (1934) 425) (later reconsidered by Schrödinger, Dirac and many others), which takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \mu^{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}\right)} \right]$$
$$= \mu^{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2\mu^{2}} F^{2}} - \frac{1}{16\mu^{4}} \left(F \cdot \widetilde{F}\right)^{2} \right] = \mathcal{L}_{BI} \left(\vec{B}^{2} - \vec{E}^{2}, \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}\right)_{25}$$

Note the analogy with the relativistic  $\gamma$  factor for the velocity:

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI}\left(\vec{B}=0\right) = \mu^{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \vec{E}^{2}} \right]$$

which sets an upper bound for

$$\vec{E}: \mid \vec{E} \mid < \mu$$

This Lagrangian has in fact unique properties against instabilities created by the medium. Not many generalizations are known in the multi-field case.

The link to modern theories comes from higher-order curvature terms produced by loop corrections or by  $\alpha'$  corrections in Superstring Theory.

In addition, in Supergravity electric-magnetic duality is a common feature in the Einstein approximation, and it is natural to enforce it when the theory is deformed by higher (Einstein or Maxwell) curvature terms (SF, Scherk, Zumino, Cremmer, Julia, Kallosh, Stelle, Bossard, Howe, Nicolai, ...)

The Born-Infeld action emerges in brane dynamics as the bosonic sector of the Goldstino action for N=2 supersymmetry spontaneously broken to N=1.

In this setting the Maxwell field is the partner of the spin – <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> goldstino in an N=1 vector multiplet.

(Cecotti, SF, Deser, Puzalowski, Hughes, Polchinski, Liu, Bagger, Galperin, Rocek, Tseytlin, Kuzenko, Theisen, ...)

A multi-field extension is possible, in the N=2 setting, starting from an N=2 vector multiplet where suitable Fayet-Iliopoulos terms are introduced to integrate out N=1 chiral multiplets

(Antoniadis, Partouche, Taylor)

For a single N=2 vector multiplet the Born-Infeld action emerges as a solution of the **quadratic constraint** 

$$F_{+}^{2} + \mathcal{F}\left(m - \overline{\mathcal{F}}\right) = 0$$

where  $F_+$  is the self-dual curvature of the Maxwell field

$$F_{+,\,\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{\mu\nu} + i \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an auxiliary field such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = m \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{F}$$

In the multi-field case the generalization rests on the constraints

(SF, Porrati, Sagnotti)

$$d_{ABC}\left[F_{+}^{B}F_{+}^{C} + \mathcal{F}^{B}\left(m^{C} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{C}\right)\right] = 0$$

where the  $d_{ABC}$  are the coefficients of the **cubic term of the holomorphic prepotential of rigid special geometry**, whose classification rests on the singularity structure of cubic varieties. (FPS + Stora, Yeranyan)

Further generalizations of electric-magnetic dualities can be obtained coupling gauge vectors to scalar fields, as it occurs in Supergravity, where they can be partners of the graviton (in N  $\geq$  4 extended Supergravity) or additional matter (for N  $\leq$  4)

The general situation was again studied by Gaillard and Zumino, who showed that, in the presence of scalars, the most general electric-magnetic duality rotation for n vector fields belongs to a subgroup of Sp(2n,R). In the infinitesimal these transformations act as

$$\delta F = a \cdot F + b \cdot G$$
  

$$\delta G = c \cdot F - a^T \cdot G$$
  

$$b = b^T, \quad c = c^T$$

Under such transformations (which contain U(n) in the particular case  $a = -a^T$ , b = -c), the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \left( F c \widetilde{F} + G b \widetilde{G} \right)$$

Therefore, when b≠0 (*i.e.* when the electric field transforms into the magnetic one) the duality *is not* an invariance of the Lagrangian, although *it is* an invariance of the stress tensor. The invariance can only hold for b=0 (c ≠ 0 implies a total derivative term), so for matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & -a^T \end{pmatrix}$ 

Notice that the duality symmetry must not necessarily be the full Sp(2n, R), but at least a subgroup possessing a 2n-dimensional symplectic representation R, *i.e.* such that  $R \times R \supset 1_a$  (as is the case, for instance, for the 56 of E<sub>7.7</sub> in N=8 Supergravity).

I would like to conclude this talk discussing **other examples of nonlinear (square-root) Born-Infeld type Lagrangians in D dimensions** that were suggested from alternative 4D goldstino actions studied by *Bagger, Galperin, Rocek, Tseytlin, Kuzenko, Theisen* 

The first class of examples are **D-dimensional generalizations containing pairs of form field strengths** of degrees (p+1,D-p-1), gauge fields that couple to (p-1,D-p-3) branes). These Lagrangians generalize the D=4, p=2 case corresponding to a non-linear Lagrangian for a tensor multiplet regarded as an N=2  $\rightarrow$  N=1 goldstino multiplet in rigid Supersymmetry.

(Kuzenko, Theisen; SF, Sagnotti, Yeranyan)

These action read

$$\mathcal{L} = \mu^{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} X - \frac{1}{\mu^{4}} Y^{2}} \right]$$
  

$$X = \star [H_{p+1} \wedge \star H_{p+1} + V_{D-p-1} \wedge \star V_{D-p-1}]$$
  

$$Y = \star [H_{p+1} \wedge V_{D-p-1}]$$

and have the property of being **doubly self-dual** under

$$V'_{D-p-1} = \tilde{H}_{p+1} , \quad H'_{p+1} = \tilde{V}_{D-p-1}$$

Moreover, after a single duality the action ends up with two forms of the same degree, in a manifestly U(1) invariant combination

$$\mathcal{L} = \mu^2 \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{W_{D-p-1} \cdot \bar{W}_{D-p-1}}{\mu^2}} + \frac{\left(W_{D-p-1} \cdot \bar{W}_{D-p-1}\right)^2 - W_{D-p-1}^2 \bar{W}_{D-p-1}^2}{4\mu^4} \right]$$

which has only a  $U(1)_{em}$  duality.

A complexification of the n=1 Born-Infeld action with an SU(2) duality is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mu^2 \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{F_{p+1} \cdot \overline{F}_{p+1}}{\mu^2}} - \frac{(\star [F_{p+1} \wedge F_{p+1}]) \left(\star [\overline{F}_{p+1} \wedge \overline{F}_{p+1}]\right)}{\mu^4} \right]$$

Actions with the full U(n) duality group were proposed by Aschieri, Brace, Morariu, Zumino but they are not available in closed form even for n=2.

**These non-linear actions can be made massive introducing Green-Schwarz terms** (*SF, Sagnotti*) , *i.e.* couplings to another gauge field of the form

$$mH_{p+1} \wedge A_{D-p-1}$$

A Stueckelberg mechanism is then generated, and **the non-linear mass terms take the same form as the original non-linear curvature action**, whose (D-p-1)-form gauge field has been eaten to give mass to the original p-form.

The simplest example is the four-dimensional Born-Infeld action used to make an antisymmetric field  $b_{\mu\nu}$  massive. The mass term comes exactly from the Born-Infeld term with  $F_{\mu\nu}$  replaced by  $m b_{\mu\nu}$ 

This type of mechanism could be relevant when the system is coupled to N=2 Supergravity, in which case one of the two gravitini would belong to a massive spin-3/2 multiplet.